

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MARCIA COPELAND, M.D.,

1:18-cv-10554-NLH-JS

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM

v.

OPINION & ORDER

STATE OF NEW JERSEY, JUDGE
NAN FAMULAR, ROBERT SALDUTTI,
ESQ., DEUTCHE BANK,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

MARCIA COPELAND, M.D.
2 APPLE RIDGE WAY
EAST BRUNSWICK, NJ 08816

Plaintiff appearing pro se

BRETT JOSEPH HAROLDSON
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NJ
25 MARKET ST, 7TH FL, WEST WING
PO BOX 116
TRENTON, NJ 08625

*On behalf of Defendants the State of New Jersey and Judge
Nan Famular*

REBECCA K. MCDOWELL
SALDUTTI LAW GROUP
800 N KINGS HIGHWAY
SUITE 300
CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034

On behalf of Defendant Robert Saldutti, Esquire

HILLMAN, District Judge

WHEREAS, on February 8, 2019, the Court dismissed
Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice and ordered Plaintiff to

show cause as to why this Court should not enter a preclusion order and enjoin Plaintiff from filing any claims in this District regarding the subject matter of this case without prior permission of the Court (Docket No. 10, 11); and

WHEREAS, the Court provided Plaintiff with 15 days to respond to the Order to Show Cause (Docket No. 11); and

WHEREAS, to date, Plaintiff has not filed a response; and

WHEREAS, the Court noted in its prior Opinion that this is the sixth essentially duplicate federal court action filed by Plaintiff concerning a state court default judgment entered against her in February 2012, and Plaintiff has also instituted three additional complaints in this District of a similar genre¹; and

WHEREAS, the Court further notes that the Court issued the same litigation preclusion Order to Show Cause in COPELAND v. STATE OF NEW JERSEY, 1:17-cv-12104-NLH-JS ("Copeland V") (Docket No. 95, at 15), to which Plaintiff also failed to respond; and

¹ Plaintiff's previous actions concerning the same default judgment are: COPELAND v. ABO & COMPANY, LLC, 1:13-cv-03978-RMB-KMW ("Copeland I"); 1:13-cv-03979-RMB-KMW ("Copeland II"); 1:13-cv-04232-RMB-AMD ("Copeland III"); COPELAND v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 3:15-cv-07431-AET-TJB ("Copeland IV"); COPELAND v. STATE OF NEW JERSEY, 1:17-cv-12104-NLH-JS ("Copeland V"). Plaintiff has filed other actions arising out of different properties, although Plaintiff's claims appear to be of a similar genre. See COPELAND v. TOWNSHIP OF PENNSAUKEN, 1:14-cv-02002-RMB-AMD; COPELAND v. NEWFIELD BANK, 1:17-cv-00017-NLH-KMW; COPELAND v. US BANK, 1:18-cv-00019-NLH-KMW.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's related cases, Copeland V and Copeland V. US Bank, 1:18-cv-00019-NLH-KMW, are currently on appeal before the Third Circuit Court of Appeals²; and

WHEREAS, the Court therefore finds that because the substance of Plaintiff's claims in the actions on appeal overlap with this case, including the Court's intention to issue a litigation preclusion order, the Court shall administratively terminate this action pending the resolution of Plaintiff's appeals, at which time the Court will reactivate the matter and issue a final decision on the outstanding Order to Show Cause.³

SO ORDERED.

Date: June 4, 2019
At Camden, New Jersey

s/ Noel L. Hillman
NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.

² Plaintiff has not filed an appeal of this action.

³ When the Court dismissed Plaintiff's instant complaint with prejudice, the Court did not direct the Clerk to close the action because of the pending Order to Show Cause.